

$$\lambda_s = 4 c_w \frac{\Sigma A_s}{A_{o,tot}} = 4 \cdot 1.5 \frac{6.72}{43.9} = 0.92$$

$$Q = 1.35 b_a^{2.5} \sqrt{g I} \left(\frac{h^*}{b_a} \right)^{1.584}$$

$$A_s \approx 0.6 \cdot 0.4 = 0.24 \text{ m}^2$$

FISH PASSES

$$Q = \frac{2}{3} \mu_r s \sqrt{2g} h_o^{3/2}$$

DESIGN, DIMENSIONS AND MONITORING

$$= \frac{2}{3} 0.49 \cdot 0.17 \sqrt{19.62} \cdot 0.75^{3/2} = 0.16 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$$

$$I = \frac{\Delta h}{l} = \frac{0.1}{2.50} = 1:25 \text{ or } 4\%$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_o}} = -2 \log \frac{0.12/0.31}{14.84} = 3.16 \rightarrow \lambda_o = 0.10$$

$$v = \sqrt{2g \Delta h} = \sqrt{19.62 \cdot 0.10} = 1.40 \text{ m/s}$$

$$\lambda_{tot} = \frac{\lambda_s + \lambda_o (1 - \epsilon_o)}{1 - \epsilon_v} = \frac{0.92 + 0.1(1 - 0.18)}{1 - 0.233} = 1.31$$

$$\Sigma b_s \sqrt{2g} h_{head}^{3/2}$$

$$v_m = \sqrt{\frac{8 g r_{hy} I}{\lambda_{tot}}} = \sqrt{\frac{8 \cdot 9.81 \cdot 0.31 \cdot 0.04}{1.31}} = 0.86 \text{ m}$$

$$\frac{v_o^2}{2g} = h_{E,min} + h_v$$

$$Q = v_m \cdot A = 0.86 \cdot 1.36 = 1.17 \text{ m}^3/\text{s} \approx 1.20 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$$

$$= (1 + \zeta/3) h_{E,min}$$

$$v_{max} = \frac{v_m}{1 - \frac{\Sigma A_s}{A_{ges}}} = \frac{0.86}{1 - \frac{3 \cdot 0.4 \cdot 0.6}{1.36}} = 1.83 \text{ m}$$

$$\zeta \frac{v_{gr}^2}{2g} = \frac{\zeta}{3} h_{E,min}$$



$$= \frac{\rho g Q \Delta h}{A l_w}$$

$$19.62 \cdot 0.10^{3/2} =$$



$$Fr_e^2 = \frac{v_{max}^2 b_e}{g A_e} = \frac{1.83^2 \cdot 2.4}{9.81 \cdot 0.64} = 1.28$$

$$q_{permissible} = 0.257 \sqrt{g \frac{\rho_s - \rho_w}{\rho_w}} I^{-7/6} d_{65}^{3/2}$$

$$v_{m,min} = \frac{Q_{min}}{A} = \frac{0.1}{1.9 \cdot 0.35 + 2 \cdot 0.35^2} = 0.11 \text{ m/s}$$

$$(l_b - d) = \frac{\rho g \Delta h Q}{E b h_m} = \frac{9.81 \cdot 1000 \cdot 0.134 \cdot 0.20}{150 \cdot 1.40 \cdot 0.7}$$

$$v = Q/A \approx \frac{Q}{b_a \cdot h^*} = 1.42 \text{ m/s}$$

$$Q_{tot} = 0.182 + 0.128 = 0.31 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$$

$$\frac{\rho}{2} Q v^2 = \frac{1000}{2} \cdot 0.457 \cdot 1.42^2$$

$$Fr^2 = \frac{v_m^2 b_{sp}}{g A_{tot}} = \frac{0.86^2 \cdot 4.20}{9.81 \cdot 1.36} = 0.233$$

$$E = \frac{\rho g Q \Delta h}{A l_w} = \frac{9810 \cdot 0.31 \cdot 0.1}{1.26 \cdot 1.90} = 127 \text{ W/m}^3$$





Fish passes – Design, dimensions and monitoring

Published by the
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
in arrangement with
Deutscher Verband für Wasserwirtschaft und Kulturbau e.V. (DVWK)
Rome, 2002

This book was originally published by
Deutscher Verband für Wasserwirtschaft und Kulturbau e.V., DVWK
(German Association for Water Resources and Land Improvement)
as DVWK-Merkblatt 232/1996:
Fischaufstiegsanlagen – Bemessung, Gestaltung, Funktionskontrolle.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The designations 'developed' and 'developing' economies are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgement about the stage reached by a particular country, territory or area in the development process.

The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

All rights reserved. Reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product for educational or other non-commercial purposes are authorized without any prior written permission from the copyright holders provided the source is fully acknowledged. Reproduction of material in this information product for resale or other commercial purposes is prohibited without written permission of the copyright holders. Applications for such permission should be addressed to the Chief, Publishing Management Service, Information Division, FAO, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00100 Rome, Italy or by e-mail to copyright@fao.org

FAO ISBN: 92-5-104894-0
DVWK ISBN: 3-89554-027-7
DVWK ISSN: 0722-7167

English version copyright 2002 by FAO
German version copyright 1996 by DVWK

Preparation of this publication

This co-publication by FAO and DVWK (German Association For Water Resources and Land Improvement) is a translation of a book that was first published by DVWK in German in 1996. The FAO Fisheries Department has decided to produce the English edition to make available the valuable information contained in this technical document on a world-wide scale as no comparable work was so far available, especially as regards the close-to-nature types of fish passes.

This document was translated into English by Mr. D. d'Enno, Translator, United Kingdom, and Mr. G. Marmulla, Fishery Resources Officer, FAO, Rome. It was edited by G. Marmulla and Dr. R. Welcomme, FAO Consultant and former staff member of FAO's Fisheries Department.

The German edition "*Fischaufstiegsanlagen – Bemessung, Gestaltung, Funktionskontrolle*" was prepared by the Technical Committee on "Fishways" of the DVWK and published in the DVWK "Guidelines for Water Management" that are the professional result of voluntary technical-scientific co-operative work, available for anyone to use. The German edition was financially supported by the German Federal Inter-State Working Group on Water (LAWA).

The recommendations published in these Guidelines represent a standard for correct technical conduct and are therefore an important source of information for specialist work in normal conditions. However, these Guidelines cannot cover all special cases in which further, or restricting, measures are required. Use of these Guidelines does not absolve anyone from responsibility for their own actions. Everyone acts at his or her own risk.

Acknowledgments

We express our best thanks to Dr. Alex Haro, Ecologist, S.O. Conte Anadromous Fish Research Center, Turners Falls, USA, and Dipl.-Ing., Ulrich Dumont, Floecksmühle Consulting Engineers, Aachen, Germany, who kindly assisted with the revision of the translation. We also acknowledge with thanks the kind support by Mr. D. Barion, DVWK, and Mr. W. Schaa, State Agency for Water and Waste Management – District of Cologne, Branch Office Bonn, as well as Drs B. Adam and U. Schwevers, Institute for Applied Ecology, Kirtorf-Wahlen (all Germany).

The most particular thanks are due to Mr. G. Ellis, Rome, who patiently prepared the layout in a very professional manner.

FAO/DVWK.
Fish passes – Design, dimensions and monitoring.
Rome, FAO. 2002. 119p.

Abstract

Key words: fish pass; fishway; fish ladder; technical fish passes; close-to-nature types; hydraulic calculation; upstream migration; free passage; river rehabilitation; restoration; longitudinal connectivity; monitoring

Many fish species undertake more or less extended migrations as part of their basic behaviour. Amongst the best known examples in Europe are salmon (*Salmo salar*) and sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*), which often swim several thousands of kilometres when returning from the sea to their spawning grounds in rivers. In addition to these long-distance migratory species other fish and invertebrates undertake more or less short-term or small-scale migrations from one part of the river to another at certain phases of their life cycles.

Fish passes are of increasing importance for the restoration of free passage for fish and other aquatic species in rivers as such devices are often the only way to make it possible for aquatic fauna to pass obstacles that block their up-river journey. The fish passes thus become key elements for the ecological improvement of running waters. Their efficient functioning is a prerequisite for the restoration of free passage in rivers. However, studies of existing devices have shown that many of them do not function correctly. Therefore, various stakeholders, e.g. engineers, biologists and administrators, have declared great interest in generally valid design criteria and instructions that correspond to the present state-of-the-art of experience and knowledge.

The present Guidelines first refer to the underlying ecological basics and discuss the general requirements that must be understood for sensible application of the complex interdisciplinary matters. These general considerations are followed by technical recommendations and advice for the design and evaluation of fish passes as well as by proposals for choosing their hydraulic dimensions correctly and testing the functioning. Fishways can be constructed in a technically utilitarian way or in a manner meant to emulate nature. Bypass channels and fish ramps are among the more natural solutions, while the more technical solutions include conventional pool-type passes, slot passes, fish lifts, hydraulic fish locks and eel ladders. All these types are dealt with in this book. Furthermore, particular emphasis is laid on the importance of comprehensive monitoring.

These Guidelines deal with mitigation of the upstream migration only as data on improvement of downstream passage was scarce at the time of the preparation of the first edition, published in German in 1996. Therefore, the complex theme of downstream migration is only touched on but not developed in depth.

Foreword by FAO

In many countries of the world inland capture fisheries, in their various facets, play an important role in securing food availability and income and in improving livelihoods either through food or recreational fisheries. Since years, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) does not relent to promote the concept of sustainability in the use of resources and sustainable development continues to be a highly desirable goal in all fisheries and aquaculture activities. However, to achieve this objective in capture fisheries, especially, not only improved fisheries management but also sound ecosystem management is needed.

Freshwater is becoming a more and more precious resource and there is increased competition for its use by the various sectors, e.g. agriculture, fishery, hydropower production, navigation etc., of which fishery is generally not the most important one economically. The responsibility for the protection of the aquatic ecosystem usually lies outside the fishery and in many cases, the fishery has to be managed within the constraints imposed by the external sectors. Activities such as dam construction for water supply and power generation, channelization for navigation and flood control, land drainage and wetland reclamation for agricultural and urban use all have a profound impact on the aquatic ecosystem and thus on the natural fish populations. One of the worst effects of dams and weirs is the interruption of the longitudinal connectivity of the river which means that fish cannot migrate freely anymore. This does not only concern the long-distance migratory species but all fish that depend on longitudinal movements during a certain phase of their life cycle.

The Fisheries Department's Regular Programme and field-based activities are tailored to provide management advice on best practices and help implementing the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries and the relevant Technical Guidelines. In the framework of the Department's Major Programme, the Inland Water Resources and Aquaculture Service (FIRI) implements, *inter alia*, an activity on prevention of habitat degradation and rehabilitation of inland fisheries, including considerations regarding fish migration and mitigation measures. As normative work under this activity, FIRI gathers, reviews, analyzes and disseminates information in relation to dams and weirs and their interactions with fish and fisheries and promotes the rehabilitation of the aquatic environment as an appropriate tool for the management of inland waters.

In the attempt of making aquatic resources more sustainable, FIRI pays special attention to improved fish passage and restoration of the free longitudinal connectivity as these are important issues on a worldwide scale that attract growing interest. This book "Fish passes – design, dimensions and monitoring" which has originally been published in German by Deutscher Verband für Wasserwirtschaft und Kulturbau e.V., DVWK (German Association For Water Resources and Land Improvement) is an extremely valuable contribution to the mitigation of obstructed fish passage. It first refers to the underlying ecological basics and discusses the general requirements, that must be understood for the sensible application of the complex interdisciplinary matters, before it gives technical recommendations and advice for the design of fish passes, the correct choice of their hydraulic dimensions and the evaluation of their effectiveness. Based on knowledge and experience from mainly Europe and North America, the book describes the various types of fish passes, with special emphasis on "close-to-nature" solutions. Monitoring is dealt with as a key element for success.

The FAO Fisheries Department decided to co-publish the English edition to make widely available the valuable information contained in this technical document. This is the more important as no comparable book existed so far in the Anglophone literature, especially as regards the close-to-nature types of fish passes. It is hoped that this book contributes largely to increase the awareness of the need for unobstructed fish passage and to multiply the number of well-designed and well-dimensioned fish passes around the globe to restore lost migration routes.

Jiansan JIA
Chief, Inland Water Resources and
Aquaculture Service (FIRI)
Fishery Resources Division
Fisheries Department, FAO

Foreword to the English edition by DVWK

Great efforts have been undertaken in Germany in the past decades to bring the water quality of surface waters back to an acceptable state, defined as “slightly to moderately loaded” according to the German biological water quality classification. Improvements were mainly achieved through the construction of sewage treatment plants for purifying domestic and industrial sewage. Today efforts in water protection management are more and more directed towards the restoration of the natural ecosystem functions of the river channel, its banks and the former floodplains. Changes in channel morphology should therefore be reversed as far as possible, and obstructions that cannot be overcome by migratory fish be eliminated.

In 1986, the responsible Ministers of the five riparian countries of the river Rhine, the third largest river in Europe, and the relevant Directorate of the European Commission set a political agenda for the restoration of the Rhine and agreed to undertake actions to enable the return of salmon and other migratory fish to the Rhine and its tributaries by the year 2000. To achieve this objective, fish passes were, and still are, required in many places, but generally valid design criteria were lacking for the construction of fully functional fishways, particularly for solutions that look natural and blend well with the landscape. To satisfy this demand the German Association for Water Resources and Land Improvement, DVWK (Deutscher Verband für Wasserwirtschaft und Kulturbau e.V.), the professional, non-governmental and non-profit body representing German experts engaged in water and landscape management, prepared and published these Guidelines in 1996. In the meantime the salmon has already been detected again in the river Rhine and some of its tributaries. What a progress!

An interdisciplinary working group of biologists and engineers compiled research results and experiences from Germany and other countries that reflect the current state-of-the-art of technology in this field. With the publication of these Guidelines in English, the DVWK hopes to contribute to making the experience and guidance on restoring the longitudinal connectivity of flowing surface waters available to hydro-engineers and fishery specialists in other countries. With this book we hope to make a contribution to the transfer of knowledge across national boundaries, and will be pleased if it gives useful suggestions for the forward-looking management of waters in Europe and world-wide.

Bonn, October 2002
Dr. Eiko Lübke,
Chairman of the DVWK's Standing Committee
on International Cooperation.

Foreword¹

Fish passes are of increasing importance for the restoration of free passage for fish and other aquatic species in rivers. Such devices are often the only way to make it possible for aquatic fauna to pass obstacles that block their up-river journey. They thus become key elements for the ecological improvement of running waters.

The efficient functioning of fish passes is a prerequisite for the restoration of free passage in rivers. Studies of existing devices have shown that many of them do not function correctly. Many specialists have therefore declared great interest in generally valid design criteria and instructions that correspond to the present state-of-the-art of experience and knowledge.

A specialized Technical Committee set up by the German Association for Water Resources and Land Improvement has determined the current state-of-the-art technology for construction and operation of fish passes, through interdisciplinary co-operation between biologists and engineers. Research results and reports from other countries have been taken into account.

The present Guidelines first refer to the underlying ecological basics and discuss the general requirements that must be understood for sensible application of the complex interdisciplinary matters. These general considerations are followed by technical recommendations and advice for the design and evaluation of fish passes as well as by proposals for choosing their hydraulic dimensions correctly and testing the functioning.

In preparing these Guidelines it became clear that some questions, particularly those related to the design and integration of fish passes at dams used for hydroelectric power production, could not be answered to complete satisfaction. The reasons are, firstly that there is little reliable data on the functioning of fishways and that the behaviour of fish in the vicinity of fish passes needs further study. Secondly, defining the dimensions of close-to-nature constructions by applying the present hydraulic calculation models can only provide rough approximations. There is thus still a considerable need for research that would fill such gaps in our knowledge. For the same reason, it is, unfortunately, not possible to respond immediately to the wish for recommending standards for fish guiding devices and downstream passage devices that many professionals concerned with the subject have expressed.

The Technical Committee was composed of the following representatives of consulting firms, engineering consultants, energy supply companies, universities and specialized administrations:

ADAM, Beate	Dr., Dipl.-Biol., Institut für angewandte Ökologie (Institute for Applied Ecology), Kirtorf-Wahlen
BOSSE, Rainer	Dipl.-Ing., RWE Energie AG, Bereich Regenerative Stromerzeugung (KR) (Rhenish-Westphalian Electricity Board, Department for Regenerative Electric Power Generation (KR)), Essen
DUMONT, Ulrich	Dipl.-Ing., Ingenieurbüro Floecksmühle (Floecksmühle Consulting Engineers), Aachen
GEBLER, Rolf-Jürgen	Dr.-Ing., Ingenieurbüro Wasserbau und Umwelt (Hydraulic Engineering and Environment Consulting Engineers), Walzbachtal
GEITNER, Verena	Dipl.-Ing., Ingenieurbüro Prein-Geitner (Prein-Geitner Consulting Engineers), Hildesheim
HASS, Harro	Dipl.-Biol., Fischereidirektor, Niedersächsisches Landesamt für Ökologie, Dezernat Binnenfischerei (Lower Saxony Regional Authority for Ecology, Department for Freshwater Fishery), Hildesheim
KRÜGER, Frank	Dr.-Ing., Landesumweltamt Brandenburg, Referat Gewässergestaltung, Wasserbau und Hochwasserschutz (Brandenburg Regional Environmental Authority, Department for River Design, Hydraulic Engineering and Flood Protection), Frankfurt/Oder
RAPP, Robert	Dr.-Ing., Abteilungsdirektor, Bayerische Wasserkraftwerke AG BAWAG (Bavarian Hydroelectric Company BAWAG), Munich

¹ to the original German publication

SANZIN, Wolf-Dieter	Dr., Dipl.-Biol., Regierungsdirektor, Bayerisches Landesamt für Wasserwirtschaft (Bavarian Regional Authority for Water Management), Munich
SCHAA, Werner	Dipl.-Ing., Regierungsbaudirektor, Staatliches Umweltamt Köln, Außenstelle Bonn (State Agency for Water and Waste Management – District of Cologne, Branch Office Bonn), Bonn, (President of this Technical Committee)
SCHWEVERS, Ulrich	Dr., Dipl.-Biol., Institut für angewandte Ökologie (Institute for Applied Ecology), Kirtorf-Wahlen
STEINBERG, Ludwig	Dipl.-Biol., Oberregierungsrat, Landesanstalt für Ökologie, Bodenordnung und Forsten/Landesamt für Agrarordnung Nordrhein-Westfalen, Dezernat für Fischerei (North Rhine-Westphalian Agency for Ecology, Land and Forestry/North Rhine-Westphalian Office for Agricultural Development in Recklinghausen (LÖBF), Department for Fisheries at Kirchhudem-Albaum), Kirchhudem-Albaum (Vice-President of this Technical Committee).

Herewith the Technical Committee wishes to thank the representatives of fishery associations, angling clubs, the Society of German Fishery Administrators and Fishery Scientists, the dam operating companies and experts from public authorities and administrative bodies who have supported the work of the Technical Committee through special contributions and advice. All those who sent in constructive suggestions at the reviewing stage are also thanked.

Bonn, November 1995

Werner Schaa

Contents

		page
1	Introduction	1
2	Ecological principles	3
2.1	Running water ecosystems	3
2.1.1	Geology and climate	3
2.1.2	Water velocity	3
2.1.3	Shear stress and substrate distribution	4
2.1.4	Temperature	6
2.1.5	Oxygen	6
2.2	River continuum	7
2.3	Biological zoning of running waters	9
2.4	Potentially natural species composition	13
2.5	Migration behaviour of aquatic organisms	15
2.6	Hazards to aquatic fauna caused by dams and weirs	18
3	General requirements for fish passes	21
3.1	Optimal position for a fish pass	22
3.2	Fish pass entrance and attraction flow	24
3.3	Fish pass exit and exit conditions	26
3.4	Discharge and current conditions in fish pass	27
3.5	Lengths, slopes, resting pools	28
3.6	Design of the bottom	29
3.7	Operating times	29
3.8	Maintenance	30
3.9	Measures to avoid disturbances and to protect the fish pass	30
3.10	Integration into the landscape	30
4	Close-to-nature types of fish passes	31
4.1	Bottom ramps and slopes	31
4.1.1	Functional principle	31
4.1.2	Design and dimensions	32
4.1.2.1	Construction styles	32
4.1.2.2	Plan view	34
4.1.2.3	Longitudinal section	34
4.1.3	Remodelling of drops	35
4.1.4	Conversion of regulable weirs into dispersed or cascaded ramps	35
4.1.5	Overall assessment	36
4.1.6	Examples	37
4.2	Bypass channels	41
4.2.1	Principle of functioning	41
4.2.2	Design and dimensions	41
4.2.2.1	Plan view	42
4.2.2.2	Longitudinal section	42
4.2.2.3	Channel cross-section	43
4.2.2.4	Big boulders and boulder sills	43
4.2.2.5	Design of the water inlet and outlet areas of the bypass channel	44
4.2.2.6	Crossings	45
4.2.3	Overall assessment	45
4.2.4	Examples	47
4.3	Fish ramps	50
4.3.1	Functional principle	50
4.3.2	Design and dimensions	50
4.3.2.1	Plan view	50
4.3.2.2	Longitudinal section	51
4.3.2.3	Body of the ramp	51

4.3.2.4	Big boulders and boulder sills	52
4.3.2.5	Bank protection	52
4.3.2.6	Stabilized zone downstream of the fish ramp	52
4.3.3	Special cases	53
4.3.3.1	Rough-channel pool pass	53
4.3.3.2	Pile pass	53
4.3.4	Overall assessment	54
4.3.5	Examples	55
4.4	Hydraulic design	61
4.4.1	Flow formulae	61
4.4.2	Flow resistance of perturbation boulders	61
4.4.3	Design calculation of boulder sills	64
4.4.4	Critical discharge over bottom ramps and slopes	67
4.4.5	Trial runs	68
5	Technical fish passes	69
5.1	Pool pass	69
5.1.1	Functional principle	69
5.1.2	Design and dimensions	69
5.1.2.1	Plan view	69
5.1.2.2	Longitudinal section	70
5.1.2.3	Pool dimensions	70
5.1.2.4	Cross-wall structures	71
5.1.2.4.1	Conventional pool pass	71
5.1.2.4.2	Rhomboid pass	72
5.1.2.4.3	Humped fish pass	73
5.1.3	Hydraulic design	73
5.1.4	Overall assessment	75
5.1.5	Examples	76
5.2	Slot passes	78
5.2.1	Principle of functioning	78
5.2.2	Design and dimensions	78
5.2.2.1	Top-view plan	78
5.2.2.2	Longitudinal section	78
5.2.2.3	Pool dimensions	78
5.2.2.4	Structural characteristics	79
5.2.2.5	Bottom substrate	80
5.2.3	Hydraulic calculation	80
5.2.4	Overall assessment	84
5.2.5	Example	86
5.3	Denil pass	87
5.3.1	Functional principle	87
5.3.2	Design and dimensions	88
5.3.2.1	Top-view plan	88
5.3.2.2	Longitudinal section	88
5.3.2.3	Channel	89
5.3.2.4	Cross-channel structures	89
5.3.2.5	Water inlet and water outlet of the pass	89
5.3.3	Hydraulic calculations	90
5.3.4	Overall assessment	92
5.3.5	Example	93
5.4	Eel ladders	95
5.4.1	Peculiarities of eel migration	95
5.4.2	Design	95
5.4.3	Overall assessment	96
5.5	Fish lock	96
5.5.1	Functional principle	96

5.5.2	Design97
5.5.3	Overall assessment97
5.5.4	Example98
5.6	Fish lift	100
5.6.1	Functional principle	100
5.6.2	Structure	100
5.6.3	Overall assessment	100
5.6.4	Example	101
6	Monitoring of fish passes	103
6.1	Objective of monitoring	103
6.2	Methods	103
6.2.1	Fish traps	104
6.2.2	Blocking method	104
6.2.3	Marking	104
6.2.4	Electro-fishing	106
6.2.5	Automatic counting equipment	106
6.3	Assessment of results	106
7	Legal requirements	109
7.1	New installations	109
7.2	Existing installations	109
8	References	111
9	Table of symbols and signs	115
10	Glossary	117
	Photo credit	118
Appendix:	Overview of the most frequently used construction types of fish passes	119

List of Figures and Tables

Fig. 2.1:	Adaptations of body forms of fish	3
Fig. 2.2:	Body posture of mayfly larvae	4
Fig. 2.3:	Changes in flow characteristics in a river at different discharge conditions	5
Fig. 2.4:	Substrate distribution depending on flow velocity	5
Fig. 2.5:	River Continuum Concept	8
Fig. 2.6:	Trout zone of the River Felda (Hesse)	10
Fig. 2.7:	Grayling zone of the River Ilz (Bavaria)	11
Fig. 2.8:	Barbel zone of the River Lahn (Hesse)	11
Fig. 2.9:	Bream zone of the River Oder (Brandenburg)	11
Fig. 2.10:	Determination of indicator fish zones	14
Fig. 2.11:	Larvae of the caddis fly <i>Anabolia nervosa</i>	15
Fig. 2.12:	Bullhead (<i>Cottus gobio</i>)	16
Fig. 2.13:	Nase (<i>Chondrostoma nasus</i>)	16
Fig. 2.14:	Salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)	16
Fig. 2.15:	Huchen (<i>Hucho hucho</i>)	18
Fig. 2.16:	Life cycle of catadromous migratory fish	19
Fig. 2.17:	Life cycle of anadromous migratory fish	19
Fig. 3.1:	Impassable sudden drop	21
Fig. 3.2:	Culvert under a road	21
Fig. 3.3:	Aerial view of the Neef dam on the Moselle River	22
Fig. 3.4:	Flow pattern in a river	23
Fig. 3.5:	Optimum position of a bypass channel and a technical fish pass	23
Fig. 3.6:	Location for the construction of a fish pass at oblique-angled obstacles	23
Fig. 3.7:	Position of fish passes at bypass hydroelectric power stations	24
Fig. 3.8:	Fish pass with antechamber	25
Fig. 3.9:	Fish pass entrance	25
Fig. 3.10:	Hydroelectric power station with collection gallery	26
Fig. 3.11:	Cross-section through a collection gallery	26
Fig. 3.12:	Different water inlets (fish pass exits) for varying headwater levels	27
Fig. 3.13:	Bent fish pass with resting pools	29
Fig. 3.14:	Coarse bottom substrate	29
Fig. 4.1:	Definitions of types of natural-looking fish passes	31
Fig. 4.2:	River stretch with close-to-nature features as an example to be followed in the design of natural-looking bottom sills	32
Fig. 4.3:	Examples of construction types of bottom ramps and slopes	33
Fig. 4.4:	Bottom slope as rockfill construction	33
Fig. 4.5:	Bottom step as boulder bar construction	34
Fig. 4.6:	Plan view of a curved bottom ramp	34
Fig. 4.7:	Conversion of an artificial drop into a rough bottom slope	35
Fig. 4.8:	Conversion of a regulable weir into a supporting sill	35
Fig. 4.9:	Grossweil/Loisach bottom ramp	37
Fig. 4.10:	Bischofswerder plank dam before modification	38
Fig. 4.11:	Bischofswerder supporting sill after modification	38
Fig. 4.12:	Longitudinal section of a bottom step in the Mangfall River	39
Fig. 4.13:	Bottom step in the Mangfall River	39
Fig. 4.14:	Plan view showing the position of the Mühlenhagen/Goldbach bottom ramp	40
Fig. 4.15:	Mühlenhagen/Goldbach bottom ramp	40
Fig. 4.16:	Bypass channel	41
Fig. 4.17:	Bypass channel at Lapnow Mill	42
Fig. 4.18:	Examples for securing bottom and banks of bypass channels	43
Fig. 4.19:	A bypass channel with perturbation boulders	44
Fig. 4.20:	Boulder sills for breaking the slope in a bypass channel	44
Fig. 4.21:	Control device at the water inlet of the bypass at Kinsau Lech dam	45
Fig. 4.22:	Bypass channel in the Varrel Bäke stream near the Varrel Estate	47

Fig. 4.23:	Sketch of position of Seifert's Mill Dam	48
Fig. 4.24:	Bypass channel at Seifert's Mill	48
Fig. 4.25:	Sketch of position of the Kinsau bypass channel	49
Fig. 4.26:	Kinsau bypass channel	49
Fig. 4.27:	Positioning of fish ramps at dams	50
Fig. 4.28:	Fish ramp at the Krewelin weir	51
Fig. 4.29:	Fish ramp at the Eitorf weir	51
Fig. 4.30:	Rough-channel pool pass	53
Fig. 4.31:	Rough-channel pool pass	53
Fig. 4.32:	Pile pass	54
Fig. 4.33:	Eselsbrücke fish ramp	55
Fig. 4.34:	Dattenfeld fish ramp	56
Fig. 4.35:	Dattenfeld fish ramp	56
Fig. 4.36:	Delmenhorst fish ramp	57
Fig. 4.37:	Uhingen rough-channel pool pass	58
Fig. 4.38:	Fish ramp at the Spillenburg weir	59
Fig. 4.39:	Fish ramp at the Spillenburg weir	59
Fig. 4.40:	Fish ramp at the Spillenburg weir	60
Fig. 4.41:	Fish ramp at the Spillenburg weir	60
Fig. 4.42:	Bypass channel	62
Fig. 4.43:	Sketch to illustrate the example of calculation	63
Fig. 4.44:	Hydraulic design calculation of boulder sills	64
Fig. 4.45:	Fish stream at the Kinsau Lech dam	64
Fig. 4.46:	Drowned-flow reduction factor σ	65
Fig. 4.47:	Flow at a boulder sill	66
Fig. 4.48:	Sketch to illustrate the example of calculation	66
Fig. 4.49:	Test run at the Eitorf-Unkelmühle fish ramp	67
Fig. 5.1:	Conventional pool pass	69
Fig. 5.2:	Pool passes	69
Fig. 5.3:	Pool pass	70
Fig. 5.4:	Pool pass	71
Fig. 5.5:	Pool-pass terminology	71
Fig. 5.6:	Cross-wall design of a rhomboid pass	72
Fig. 5.7:	Rhomboid pass of the Moselle weir at Lehmen	73
Fig. 5.8:	Humped fish pass at the Geesthacht dam on the river Elbe	73
Fig. 5.9:	Cross-section through the pools	75
Fig. 5.10:	Longitudinal section through pool pass	75
Fig. 5.11:	The Coblenz/Moselle pool pass	76
Fig. 5.12:	Pool pass at Dahl	77
Fig. 5.13:	Pool pass at Dahl	77
Fig. 5.14:	Slot pass with two slots	78
Fig. 5.15:	Slot pass at the Bergerac weir	78
Fig. 5.16:	Dimensions and terminology for slot passes	79
Fig. 5.17:	Flow velocity distribution in the slot	80
Fig. 5.18:	Longitudinal section through a slot pass	81
Fig. 5.19:	Detail of slot pass	81
Fig. 5.20:	Slot current	82
Fig. 5.21:	Water discharge in the slot pass	82
Fig. 5.22:	Discharge coefficient for sharp-edged slot boundaries	82
Fig. 5.23:	Sketch illustrating the example of calculation	83
Fig. 5.24:	Cross-walls of a slot pass	84
Fig. 5.25:	Slot pass at the Spree dam of Neu Lübbenau	86
Fig. 5.26:	Denil pass	87
Fig. 5.27:	Baffles in a Denil pass	87
Fig. 5.28:	Characteristic velocity distribution in a Denil pass	87
Fig. 5.29:	Denil pass	88
Fig. 5.30:	Denil pass	88

Fig. 5.31:	Denil pass	.90
Fig. 5.32:	Relation of $h^* = f(h_0)$.90
Fig. 5.33:	Dimensions of the baffles	.91
Fig. 5.34:	Longitudinal section of a Denil pass	.91
Fig. 5.35:	Sketch of the Denil pass at the Unkelmühle hydroelectric power station	.93
Fig. 5.36:	Lower Denil channel with resting pool	.94
Fig. 5.37:	Lower Denil channel	.94
Fig. 5.38:	Sea lamprey (<i>Petromyzon marinus</i>)	.94
Fig. 5.39:	Eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>)	.95
Fig. 5.40:	Rhomboid pass with eel ladder	.95
Fig. 5.41:	Eel ladder	.96
Fig. 5.42:	Principle of how a fish lock functions	.97
Fig. 5.43:	Fish lock at Schoden	.98
Fig. 5.44:	Fish lock at Schoden	.99
Fig. 5.45:	Principle of how a fish lift functions	.100
Fig. 5.46:	Tuilières fish lift	.101
Fig. 5.47:	Entrance to the Tuilières fish lift	.101
Fig. 6.1:	Fish trapping for monitoring purposes	.105
Fig. 6.2:	Marked Salmon	.105
Fig. 6.3:	Electro-fishing for monitoring purposes	.105
Tab. 2.1:	Distribution of selected fish species of the indicator fish zones of the water systems of the Rhine, Weser and Elbe	.12
Tab. 2.2:	River zoning	.13
Tab. 2.3:	Slope classification of the river zones	.13
Tab. 3.1:	Average body lengths of adults of some larger fish species	.28
Tab. 5.1:	Recommended dimensions for pool passes	.72
Tab. 5.2:	Minimum dimensions for slot passes with only one slot	.79
Tab. 5.3:	Water levels and flow velocities at high headwater level	.84
Tab. 5.4:	Guide values for channel widths and channel slopes in Denil passes	.89
Tab. 5.5:	Guide values for the design of baffles in Denil passes	.89

1 Introduction

Many fish species undertake more or less extended migrations as part of their basic behaviour. Amongst the best known examples are salmon (*Salmo salar*) and sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*), which often swim several thousands of kilometres when returning from the sea to their spawning grounds in rivers. In addition to these long-distance migratory species other fish and invertebrates undertake more or less short-term or small-scale migrations from one part of the river to another at certain phases of their life cycles.

Weirs had already been installed during the Middle Ages in many streams and rivers in Europe to exploit their water power potential. These historical features still constitute an essential component of our cultural landscape. Rivers continue to be subject to further wide ranging and intensive anthropogenic uses as a result of industrialisation and increasing human populations.

Besides such purposes as flood control, navigation and production of drinking water, hydropower production plays an important role in the construction of new dams today, especially under the aspect of the increased promotion of the use of renewable energy. Hydro-electric energy is therefore vigorously promoted as a means of reducing CO₂ emission from fossil energy sources. The character and quality of river ecosystems are deeply affected when obstacles such as dams and weirs are placed across a river. The construction of dams and weirs results in the flooding of entire sections of rivers that are thus transformed into water storage impoundments and lose their riverine character. Moreover, these obstacles interrupt the longitudinal connectivity of a river so that unhindered passage for aquatic organisms is no longer ensured. This, together with other factors such as water pollution, leads to a decrease in the population size of some fish species (e.g. salmon, sturgeon, allis shad), sometimes to levels close to extinction.

The negative effects of man-made barriers such as dams and weirs on migratory fishes were known early on. For instance, in the thirteenth century the Count of Jülich delivered a writ for the Rur (tributary of the Maas in North Rhine-Westphalia) ordering that all weirs should be opened for salmon migrations (TICHELBÄCKER, 1986). Certainly such radical solutions are no longer practical today, but present-day obstacles can be made passable by the construction of fish passes. Although

constructing fish passes does not eliminate the basic ecological damage caused by the dams, such as loss of river habitat or loss of longitudinal connectivity, this measure attenuates the negative ecological impact of these obstructions to a certain extent and thereby increases their ecological compatibility. For instance, the success of the programme begun in the mid 1980s to reintroduce salmon and sea trout in rivers of North Rhine-Westphalia should not be attributed exclusively to the improved water quality due to the construction of sewage treatment plants but also to the re-linking of potential spawning waters (the Sieg river system) to the main river (Rhine) by building fish passes at critical obstacles (STEINBERG & LUBIENIECKI, 1991). Moreover, this re-linking of aquatic ecosystems is an important contribution to efforts to facilitate the recolonization of rivers by endangered fish species and, more generally, to species and habitat conservation. Today, the restoration of the longitudinal connectivity of rivers is a declared sociopolitical goal. This can be achieved by either decommissioning (i.e. the demolition) barriers that are no longer required, by replacing them with bottom slopes or through construction of fish passes.

Fish passes are structures that facilitate the upstream or downstream migration of aquatic organisms over obstructions to migration such as dams and weirs. While the objective of re-linking waterbodies is by no means limited to benefiting fish but rather aims at suiting all aquatic organisms, such terms as "fish ladders", "fishways", "fish passes" and "fish stairs" will be used throughout these Guidelines in the absence of a more appropriate general term that would encompass other aquatic organisms as well as fish. This terminology is also to be seen against the historical background since in the past emphasis was laid on helping fish to ascend rivers. Today, the term "fishway" is used in a broader sense to refer not only to the fish fauna but to all aquatic organisms that perform migrations. It further broadens its meaning to also include downstream migration - an aspect which is becoming increasingly important.

Fish ladders can be constructed in a technically utilitarian way or in a manner meant to emulate nature. Bypass channels and fish ramps are among the more natural solutions, while the more technical solutions include conventional pool-type passes and slot passes. Apart from the conventional types, special forms such as eel ladders, fish lifts and hydraulic fish locks are also used. These Guidelines present the current state of knowledge on fish passes for *upstream* migration only and give advice on, and instructions for, their construction,